Dear Irene,

RE: QA2017072 - Comparing a novel WEB videolaryngoscope with conventional C-MAC videolaryngoscope in simulated difficult airway: a manikin study

I write in response to your quality assurance submission of the above named project to be reviewed via the Quality Assurance review process.

The project has been reviewed against the tenets of the National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Research 2007.

We are satisfied that this application meets the criteria for a Quality Assurance project that does not require review by the full HREC.

Ethics & Governance approval:

Your amendment application has been granted ethics approval to be conducted at the following site:

- Melbourne Health

Documents approved:

- QA application form

- Protocol V2 dated 20.09.17

- CRF V2

Documents noted:

- 7am study licence agreement

- HoD sign off

Other information about your QA approval:

• Your project number is **QA2017072**. Please quote this number in future correspondence.

• Please note that QA approval is ongoing until you inform us that the project has been completed (please do this by email to rmh-qareview@mh.org.au )

• Please submit amendments to the QA project via email to rmh-qareview@mh.org.au

• Annual progress reports are not required for QA projects.

• Please note that all documentation regarding this project must be kept for 12 months from completion. However if you intend to publish the results, documentation must be kept for 5 years post publication or 5 years from the decision not to publish.